IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

M.P.No. 3485 /1988

PETITIONER : HARBHAJAN SINGH SANGHA
aged about 36 years,
S/o Shri Gurbax Singh,
P.No.4050,
Designation - Deputy Manager
(Mechanical)
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Steel Authority of India Ltd.,
Bhilai Nagar,
Tahsil and District Durg (M.P)

- V E R S U S -

RESPONDENTS : 1) Steel Authority of India,
through : Managing Director,
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Bhilai Nagar,
Tah. and Distt. Durg (M.P)

2) Chairman,
Review Committee
Constituted under Executive-
Performance Appraisal,
For Plate Mill,
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Steel Authority of India Ltd.,
Bhilai Nagar,
Tah. and Distt.Durg (M.P)

3) Shri Rajiv Kumar,
P.No.4233,
Manager,

4) Shri P.K.Bandhopadhyay,
P.No.4230,Manager.

V. G. Tamaskar,
ADVOCATE,
HIGH COURT OF M.P.
MOHAN NAGAR,
DURG (M.P.)

contd....2.

(3)

5) Shri S.Varadhrajan,
P.No.4211,
Manager,

6) Shri T.C.S.Prasad,
P.No.4190,
Manager,

7) Shri S.C.Mehta,
P.No.4231,
Manager,

8) Shri T.S.Suresh,
P.No.4379,
Manager,

9) Shri S.K.Dey,
P.No.4274,
Manager,

10) Shri G.S.Prasad
P.No.4273,
Manager,

11) Shri S.S.Verma,
P.No.4046,
Manager.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR WRITS IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION ETC.AND FOR FURTHER APPROPRIATE DIRECTIONS.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(97)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3485/98 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	01.04.2004 Shri V.G. Tamaskar, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Gautam Bhaduri, counsel for respondents No.1 and 2. Heard. The petition related to denial of promotion to the petitioner. During the pendency of the petition, certain promotions might have been given to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw this petition with liberty to make a representation before the respondents No.1 and 2, which shall be considered by them on its own merits. Prayer is not opposed. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority/respondents No.1 and 2 along with a copy of the petition and this order, within two months, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority/respondents No.1 and 2 shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(96)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
HH	preferably within four months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. The petition stands disposed of ~~as withdrawn~~ with the liberty aforesaid. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	